ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M08451 03/08/12 Date Received: 03/09/12 Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: 03/09/12 Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor, F&BI 203114 203114-01 x10

Instrument:

203114-01 x10.034 ICPMS1

ΑP Operator:

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 103

Lower Limit: 60

Upper Limit: 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Analyte: Chromium

Nickel

 ${\bf Copper}$

Zinc

324 321 523 27.2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Method Blank Not Applicable

03/08/12 Date Extracted: 03/09/12 Date Analyzed: Water ug/L (ppb) Client: Project: Lab ID:

Alaskan Copper Works

ΑP

Metro Self Monitor, F&BI 203114 I2-158 mb

Data File: Instrument: I2-158 mb.024 ICPMS1

Operator:

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 102

Lower Limit: 60

Upper Limit: 125

Concentration

Analyte:

Matrix:

Units:

ug/L (ppb)

<1 Chromium Nickel <1 ${\bf Copper}$ <1 Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 03/14/12 Date Received: 03/08/12

Project: Metro Self Monitor, F&BI 203114

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 203060-01 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)	
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	102	102	67-132	0	
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.12	102	102	73-119	0	
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	3.09	101	100	50-144	1	
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	69.9	98 b	96 b	46-148	2 b	

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	96	66-135
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	97	67-134
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	98	66-134
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	95	57-135

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- ${\bf J}$ The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

1	7 1	2		Γ	.	F	T	·	1			_		ن با ع (1		
	Company of the Compan	A Party Assessment Read										M08%]	Sample ID		City, Man, IIP SEATTLE	ALASELAN
	Shin	X)0	. L	-1 1		Amount C
	M		TANK S									38/12	Det		18186 AM	Works
									3			1:00	Time	100	200	les
	N)	(Sie	3					٠		·		$H\omega$	Sample Type		REMARKS	PROJECT NAMENO. MEGINO SELE
	Thus broken	Const.)	* Ž			SELF
	To the state of th	house	S. C.										TFM-Dissel TFM-Gassiles		(r	SELF Monten
		C											VOCE by sees SVOCE by sees		Yes	
	F.48	20	8									Z	HP8 Ge Cant 2	T. C.		18689 W
		3	Action												0 82	720
	3/8/12	38/n	N D					-			·			5		
	21694	211500	100				,				e a		Ŧ			

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

March 14, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on March 8, 2012 from the Metro Self Monitor, F&BI 203114 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0314R.DOC